Interoperable Map Software (IMS)

Linda Mallery

National Imagery and Mapping Agency/SRME

Phone: (301) 227-3293

Email: malleryl@nima.mil

Background

- ◆ February 1994 ASD/C3I tasked DMA to standardize MC&G support applications for the C3I community.
- → Eliminate stovepipe developments and redundant applications.
- ◆ Create, populate, and sustain an MC&G software reuse library.
- → Provide guidance to users on what mapping software to use.

Interoperable Map Software Basic Issue

- ★ There is currently no effective Joint control over MC&G exploitation software or algorithms
- **→** The effects are:
 - proliferation of redundant software and algorithms
 - potential compromise of data integrity
 - no guaranteed repeatability of operations between systems

Interoperable Map Software Basic Issue (cont'd)

- less interoperability
- unnecessarily high training/ familiarization costs resulting in high costs and lowered confidence



Interoperable Map Software Objective

◆ Provide the best certified and proven MC&G exploitation software available to achieve greater interoperability by providing a common, consistent view of the warrior's battle space across service lines while conserving scarce DoD resources.

Interoperable Map Software Goals

- **→** Joint effort
 - Reduce MC&G exploitation software lifecycle costs
 - Improve interoperability of DoD systems that use digital MC&G data
 - ◆ Promote use of standardized tools (e.g. line of sight, data importers, etc.) within a common computing environment

Interoperable Map Software Goals (cont'd)

- Certify MC&G software
 - ◆ At various levels (e.g. conformance, reuse, performance, interoperability, portability)
 - ◆ Level of effort based on risk (e.g. hazards to navigation, targeting)
 - ◆ May have different versions of the same tool (e.g. speed vs. accuracy)

Interoperable Map Software Goals (cont'd)

- ◆ Institutionalize MC&G software reuse and sustainment within DoD
 - Make MC&G software reuse simple
 - ◆ Easy to find, extract, and reuse
 - ◆ Market its benefits and sell the early success stories
- → Provide useful & reliable reusable assets

Interoperable Map Software What is it?

★ Infrastructure/environment in which procedures and methodologies place assets into a reuse library/repository.

Interoperable Map Software What it's Not!

★ IMS is not a system and does not build software or toolkits.

Interoperable Map Software How to make it Happen?

- → Perform requirements analysis
 - Domain Analysis
 - Assess the existing MC&G support applications
- → Establish a Domain Architecture
- **→** Establish certification process
- ★ Establish IMS MC&G Library (s/w, tool kits (GCCS-JMTK, etc.), documentation, test cases, etc.)

Interoperable Map Software Status

- → IMS Concept of Operations (draft)
- ★ Reference Model (development with SEI)
- → Domain Analysis Working Group (DAWG)
- **→** COTS Market Survey
- **→** GOTS vs. COTS
- → Legal Issues
- → Strategies and tools for establishing software reuse library infrastructure
 - faceting scheme

Interoperable Map Software Status

- ◆ DPPDB (Dew_Drop)
 - Accuracy testing
 - Pilot Project to develop certification process
 - ◆ Reqm'ts Doc, Dew_Drop s/w Test plan, Dew_Drop Validation Report (in work)
- → Certification Program Plan
 - Risk Assessment Methodology
- → IMS PMP/IP (in work)

Interoperable Map Software Team

- **♦** NIMA
- ◆ U.S. Army Topographic Engineering Center (TEC)
- ◆ U.S. Air Force Electronic Systems Center (ESC)
- ◆ Naval Research Laboratory (NRL)

Interoperable Map Software Team (cont'd)

- ◆ Comprehensive Approach to Reusable Defense Software (CARDS), an USAF sponsored program
- → Joint Interoperability Test Command (JITC)
- ◆ U.S. Army Reuse Center

Interoperable Map Software Requirements Analysis

- → Domain Definition Report (DDR), product of the DAWG
 - Scope of MC&G capabilities across all the military services
 - Created Domain Requirements Architecture
 (DRA) (a.k.a. object model) using objectoriented techniques
 - Developed operational scenarios to validate the DRA (model)
 - Technical Reference Model (based on TAFIM)
 - Formal Service Validation of the DDR

Interoperable Map Software Program Structure

- → IMS Oversight Group
- → IMS Requirements Group
- → IMS Design Group
- **→** IMS Implementation Group



Interoperable Map Software Oversight Group

- → Establish Corporate process/structure
- → Develop CM Plan
- → Market IMS Program/Customer Support
- → Determine/Establish Collection of Metrics
- **→** Establish Customer Interface
- → Provide IMS Training
- ◆ Establish Interfaces to GII IPT, USIS, CIIF

Interoperable Map Software Requirements Group

- **♦** Establish Formal Process
 - Identify/assess existing and future
 - Coordinate/finalize collection process
- **♦** VPF Slice
- ◆ Datum Transformations and Coordinate Conversions Slice

Interoperable Map Software Design Group

- → Architecture, Standards, & Conventions
 - Standards profile JTA, TAFIM
 - Constraints
 - ◆ DII COE compliant, COTS vs. GOTS
 - API standardization
- → Certification Program Plan
 - Pilot Project Dew_Drop
- **→** Develop Software Guidelines



Interoperable Map Software Implementation Group

- → Develop IMS Home Page
- → Provide IMS Library Management
 - develop library, repository, handle COTS
- → Provide Asset Support
- → Provide Certification Factors Devlopm't
- **→** Provide Certification
- **→** Provide Validation

Interoperable Map Software Implementation Group (cont'd)

- → Proof of Concept
 - IMS Library
 - ◆ Moreplus
 - Library structure
 - Browsing
 - User interface, architectural framework
 - Home Page
 - CM Plan
 - Standards Profile
 - IMS Certification Program Plan

Interoperable Map Software Proof of Concept (cont'd)

- Procedures
 - **◆** Asset Submission
 - ♦ Abstract Guidelines
 - ◆ Metrics
 - ◆ Requirements Submission to Repository
 - ◆ Coding Guidelines
 - ◆ Staffing Plan
 - ◆ Repository Maintenance
 - ◆ MOAs
 - ◆ COTS



Interoperable Map Software Proof of Concept (cont'd)

- Schedule
 - ◆ Dry Run (Dec'96)
 - ◆ Briefing and Demo to NIMA Mgmt and Service Reps (Jan'97)



Interoperable Map Software Next Steps?

- → Definition of IOC (due 29 Nov)
 - Schedule
 - Milestones
 - Deliverables
 - Assumptions
 - Pros/Cons
 - Resources

